arXiv:1702.08260v1 [math.DS] 27 Feb 2017

TOPOLOGICALLY WEAKLY MIXING POLYGONAL BILLIARDS

JOZEF BOBOK AND SERGE TROUBETZKOY

Abstract. We show that in a typical polygon the billiard map as well as its associated subshift obtained by coding orbits by the sequence of sides they visit are topologically weakly mixing.

1. Introduction

A point mass, moves inside a planar polygon with unit speed along a straight line until it reaches the boundary, then instantaneously changes direction according to the mirror law: "the angle of incidence is equal to the angle of reflection," and continues along the new line. The first mathematical article about the billiard in a polygon dates from 1905 [Le]. Billiards in polygons come in two different types. If the polygon is rational, i.e., all angles between sides are rational multiple of π, the dynamics differs from the irrational case. If one fixes the initial direction of the billiard in a rational polygon, then the directions taken by billiard orbit is a finite set, this plays the role of an integral of the motion; the invariant set of points in the phase space taking these directions is in fact a translation surface. In the rational polygon case much is known about the dynamics of the billiard flow restricted to the associated translation surface for a typical initial direction (i.e., a typical value of the integral) as well as about the restriction of the billiard map (the first return map of the billiard flow to the boundary of the polygon) to the associated translation surface; we will refer to these restrictions as the directional billiard flow and the directional billiard map. For all but countably many values of this integral the directional billiard map is minimal [Ke] (and thus the directional billiard flow is minimal as well). Kerchoff, Masur and Smillie showed that for almost every value of the integral the directional billiard flow is ergodic with respect to the invariant area measure, in fact uniquely

We thank the A*MIDEX project (ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02), funded itself by the "Investissements d'avenir" program of the French Government, managed by the French National Research Agency (ANR). We gratefully acknowledges the support of project APEX "Systèmes dynamiques: Probabilités et Approximation Diophantienne PAD" funded by the Région PACA.

ergodic [KeMaSm] (and thus the directional billiard map is uniquely ergodic as well). Katok showed that the directional billiard map and flow are not mixing for any value of the integral [Ka], while Chaika and Fickenscher gave examples of rational polygons for which the directional billiard map is topologically mixing for certain specific values of the integral [ChFi]. Ávila and Forni has shown that generic interval exchange transformations are weakly mixing and the straignt line flow generic translation surfaces is weakly mixing in almost every direction [AvFo]. Unfortunately one can not deduce weakly mixing results for for rational polygonal billiards from this result since the set of translation surfaces arising from rational polygonal billiards is of measure 0 in the set of all translation surface. None the less we do have examples of rational polygons for which for some of the directional flows are weakly mixing. Ávila and Delecroix showed that for almost every direction the directional billiard flow is weakly mixing in regular polygons other than the lattice ones [AvDe] and Málaga Sabogal and Troubetzkoy showed the analogous result for typical polygons with vertical and horizontal sides [MSTr]. Boshernitzan et. al. have shown that in a rational polygon the set of periodic orbits of the billiard flow are dense in the whole phase space [BoGaKrTr].

On the other hand much less is known about the billiard in an irrational polygon. The only global result is that the billiard map (and thus flow) is always of zero entropy, and thus never K-mixing [Ka1, GaKrTr, GuHa] and every non-periodic orbit accumlates at a vertex [GaKrTr]. Scheglov has shown that for almost every triangle we have a stronger result, certain geometric quantities grow slower than a stretched exponential [Sc]. Katok and Zemlyakov developed a method to prove results about the dynamics of typical polygons, here and throughout the article the word typical means that the property holds for a dense G_δ set of polygons equipped by an appropriate topology. They developed this technique to show that the billiard in a typical polygon is topologically transitive [KaZe]. Using this technique and the Kerchoff-Masur-Smillie result mentioned above, one can show that he billiard in a typical polygon is ergodic with respect to the phase volume [KeMaSm, Ka2, PoSt]. Finally Troubetzkoy showed that the billiard map in a typical polygon is totally ergodic [Tr].

In this article we use the approximation technique to give the first known result on higher mixing for the billiard in irrational polygons. We show

Theorem 1. *For each $k \geq 3$ there is a dense G_δ set G of k-gons such that if $P \in G$ the billiard map as well as the billiard shift are topologically weakly mixing.*

All the notions in the theorem will be made precise in Section 2. Besides the method of approximation the proof uses only two ingredients, the fact that the directional billiard map in most directions is minimal due to Keane [Ke] from which one can easily deduce that it is totally transitive (totally minimal), and the fact that the billiard flow (and thus the billiard map) has a dense set of periodic orbits [BoGaKrTr]. Somewhat surprisingly, in contrast to the three previously mentioned results using the approximation technique, we do not know that the target property of topological weak mixing holds for directional billiard maps in rational polygons.

The structure of the article is as follows, in Section 2 we will state all the precise definitions and give some background material necessary to prove Theorem 1. Finally in Section 3 we will first state a more general version of Theorem 1 and we prove this theorem.

2. Definitions and background material

2.1. **Topological dynamics.** A pair (X, f), where X is a compact Hausdorff topological space and $f : X \to X$ is a homeomorphism is called a *topological dynamical system*. A topological dynamical system (X, f) is called *topologically transitive* if the forward orbit $O(x) = \{f^i x : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of some point $x \in X$ is dense in X. A necessary and sufficient condition for (X, f) to be topologically transitive is that for each pair of open sets U, V there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^n U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. A topological dynamical system is called *topologically weakly mixing* if the topological dynamical system $(X \times X, f \times f)$ is topologically transitive, i.e., the map $f \times f$ has a dense orbit in $X \times X$.[1] The above mentioned characterization of topological transitivity shows that a topological dynamics system is topologically weakly mixing if and only if for any four open sets $A, B, C, D \subset X$ there exists a $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(f \times f)^n (A \times B) \cap (C \times D) \neq \emptyset$, we will refer to this characterization by (TM). Using this characterization Petersen has shown that a topological dynamical system is topologically weakly mixing if and only if given nonempty open sets U and V of X there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^n U \cap U \neq \emptyset$ and $f^n U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ [Pe]. A topological dynamical system (X, f) is called *totally transitive* if (X, f^n) is topologically transitive

[1]There is also a different definition of topological weak mixing via continuous eigenfunction, see [KeRo] for the relationship between these notions.

for all $n \geq 1$ and is called *totally minimal* if (X, f^n) is minimal for all $n \geq 1$.

2.2. **Definition of the billiard.** Details on polygonal billiards can be found in the nice survey article [MaTa]. Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a polygon. A point mass, moves inside P with unit speed along a straight line until it reaches the boundary ∂P, then instantaneously changes direction according to the mirror law: "the angle of incidence is equal to the angle of reflection," and continues along the new line. If the trajectory hits a corner of the polygon, in general it does not have a unique continuation and thus we decide that it stops there. The *phase space* of the *billiard flow* ϕ_t is the quotient

$$Y_P := \big\{(x,\xi) \in P \times \mathbb{S}^1 : \xi \text{ points into } P \text{ and } x \text{ belongs to the interior of an edge } \big\}/\sim,$$

where $\sim$ identifies (x,ξ) with $(x,\delta\xi)$ whenever x lies in the interior of an edge and δ is the reflection in that edge.

Let C_P denote the *set of corners* of P and

$$X_P := Y_P \cap ((\partial P \setminus C_P) \times \mathbb{S}^1).$$

The *billiard map* $f : X_P \to X_P$ is the first return of the flow ϕ_t to the set X_P (i.e., the boundary ∂P of P); for convenience we have removed the corners from the phase space. We have $X_P = \cup_{i=1}^k X_i$ where X_i is an open rectangle whose length is the length of the ith side of P, and whose height is π since at each (non-corner) point there is a half circle of directions pointing inside P. We will denote points of X_P by $u = (x,\theta)$ where the inner pointing directions $\theta \in \Theta = (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ is measured with respect to the inner pointing normal.

2.3. **Polygonal topological dynamics.** The billiard flow and map are not continuous, they are not even defined everywhere. None the less we extend the various definitions from topological dynamics to the billiard map. The billiard map is called *topologically transitive* if there exists a point whose forward orbit is dense. The billiard map is called *topologically weakly mixing* if the cartesian product of the billiard map with itself is topologically transitive in the sense that it has a dense orbit. We will use the characterizations of the previous section, but with care to make sure that they hold in the polygonal setting.

2.4. **Interval exchange transformations.** Let $\beta_0 = 0 < \beta_1 \cdots < \beta_n = 1$. An interval exchange transformation is a bijective map f of the interval $[0,1)$ such that f restricted to each of the intervals $[\beta_i, \beta_{i+1})$ is a translation. The interval exchange $([0,1), f)$ has a *saddle connection*

if there is an forward orbit segment which starts at some β_i and ends at some β_j (possibly the same) or if there is a forward orbit segment of $\lim_{x\to\beta_i^-} fx$ which ends at some β_j.

We call the interval exchange $([0,1), f)$ *minimal* if all forward orbits are dense. We call it *totally minimal* if $([0,1), f^k)$ is minimal for all $k \geq 1$. There is a well known structure of interval exchange transformations due to Keane [Ke], who showed that if an interval exchange transformation has no saddle connections then it is minimal. Consider an IET $([0,1), f)$ without saddle connections. The inverse map $([0,1), f^{-1})$ is also an IET without saddle connections. Now consider the IETs $([0,1), f^k)$ where $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Notice that if f^k has a saddle connection, then f has a saddle connection. Thus we can conclude.

Lemma 2. *Any IET $([0,1), f)$ without saddle connections is totally minimal.*

We remark that the inverse f^{-1} of an IET f without saddle connections is also an IET without saddle connections and thus is also totally minimal.

2.5. **Rational polygons.** By D we denote the group generated by the reflections in the lines through the origin, parallel to the sides of the polygon P. The group D is either

(i) finite, when all the angles between sides of P are of the form $\pi m_i/n_i$ with distinct co-prime integers m_i, n_i, in this case $D = D_N$ the dihedral group generated by the reflections in lines through the origin that meet at angles π/N, where $N := N_P$ is the least common multiple of n_i's, or
(ii) countably infinite, when at least one angle between sides of P is an irrational multiple of π.

In the two cases we will refer to the polygon as *rational*, respectively *irrational*.

For $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{k\pi/N_P : k = 0, 1, \ldots, 2N_P - 1\}$, let $\mathcal{S}_\xi$ be the set of points in Y_P whose second coordinate belongs to the orbit of ξ under D. Since a trajectory changes its direction by an element of D under each reflection, $\mathcal{S}_\xi$ is an invariant set of the billiard flow ϕ_t in P. In fact if P is a rational polygon then the set $\mathcal{S}_\xi$ is a compact surface with conical singularities and a flat metric away from the singularities, i.e., a translation surface ([MaTa] Section 1.5). Let $\mathcal{R}_\xi := \mathcal{S}_\xi \cap X_P$, we will also sometimes refer to $\mathcal{R}_\xi$ as $\mathcal{R}_\theta$ if the angle is measured with respect to the normal. Throughout the article we will assume that are angles are not of the form $k\pi/N$ often without explicitly mentioning it.

A *saddle connection* is a segment of a billiard orbit starting in a corner of P and ending in a corner of P. A direction is *exceptional* if it is the direction of a saddle connection. There are countably many saddle connections, hence the are countably many exceptional directions. A direction which is not exceptional will be called *non-exceptional*.

We recall some well known results about rational polygonal billiards.

(iii) if θ is non-exceptional, then the directional billiard map on $\mathcal{R}_\theta$ can be extended by one sided-continuity to a minimal IET without saddle connections ([MaTa] p. 1027), and
(iv) periodic points of the billiard flow are dense in the phase space [BoGaKrTr].

Remark: the statement (iii) is a bit awkward since we have different conventions on the behavior at singular points, for IETs the map is defined and continuous from the right at singular points, while for billiards the map is not defined when leaving or arriving at a corner. These two facts imply

Corollary 3. *For any rational polygon*

(v) if θ is non-exceptional, then the billiard map on $\mathcal{R}_\theta$ is totally transitive (even totally minimal), and
(vi) periodic points of the billiard map are dense in the phase space.

Proof. Combining Lemma 2 and (iii) shows that in any non-exceptional direction, any forward infinite orbit of any power of the billiard map is dense in $\mathcal{R}_\theta$, proving (v).

To see (vi) take a small open neighborhood U in the phase space X_P of the billiard map satisfying that the closure $\overline{U}$ (in $\overline{X_P}$) does not contain a vertex or a vector tangent to a side. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ small and consider $U(\varepsilon) := \cup_{t\in(0,\varepsilon)}\phi_t(U)$. For small enough $\varepsilon > 0$ this is an open neighborhood of the phase space of the billiard flow, thus there is a point $\bar{x} \in U(\varepsilon)$ which is periodic under the billiard flow. There is a point $x \in U$ such that $\bar{x} = \phi_t x$ for some $t \in (0, \varepsilon)$. So x is a periodic point of the billiard map. □

2.6. **Billiard shifts.** Suppose that P has k sides, label each side of P by a different letter from the alphabet $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Let X'_P denote the set of $u = (x, \theta) \in X_P$ for which $f^i u$ is defined for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The *code of* u, denoted by $c(u)$, is the sequence of labels of the sides visited by the orbit of u. Let

$$\Sigma'_P := \{c(u) : u \in X'_P\}.$$

Σ'_P is a subset of $\{1, 2 \dots, k\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ endowed with the product topology. Let Σ_P denote the closure of Σ'_P. A cylinder set is a set of the form

$\{z \in \Sigma_P : z_i = a_0, \ldots, z_{i+k} = a_k\}$, cylinder sets form a basis of the topology. We consider the left shift operator σ on Σ_P. We will refer to the topological dynamical system (Σ_P, σ) as *the billiard shift*.

By definition we have the following commutative relationship

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X'_P & \xrightarrow{f} & X'_P \\ {\scriptstyle c}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c} \\ \Sigma'_P & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \Sigma'_P \end{array} \tag{1}$$

Although we will not use it here, it seems appropriate to point out that the map c invertible up to periodic points. More precisely, for each $c \in \Sigma'_P$ le $\pi(c)$ denote the set of $u \in X'_P$ such that the code $c(u)$ equals u. Extend the set valued map π to a set valued map from $\Sigma_P \to \overline{X'_P} \subset \overline{X_P}$ by continuity (we will refer to this extension by the same symbol π). Galperin et. al. [GaKrTr] have shown that

(vii) if $c \in \Sigma_P$ is periodic with period n, then $\pi(c)$ is a horizontal interval in $\overline{X_P}$; when n is even each point from $\pi(c)$ is a periodic point period n, while if n is odd then the midpoint of the interval $\pi(c)$ has period n while all other points from $\pi(c)$ have period $2n$, and

(viii) if $c \in \Sigma_P$ is non-periodic then the set $\pi(c)$ consists of one point.

2.7. **Topologies on the space of k-gons.** For simplicity suppose that the polygon is simply connected (our results hold in the finitely connected case as well). To state our theorem, we need a topology on the set of all k-gons (for $k \geq 3$ fixed). We will consider several such topologies, our results hold for all of the topologies.

The first topology is the simplest. A k-gon is determined by its corners, thus we can think of the k-gons as an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2k}$. The topology of $\mathbb{R}^{2k}$ then induces a topology on the set of k-gons. The space of all k-gons is thus a Baire space. In this topology there is no attempt to represent a polygon in a unique way.

Notice that the dynamics of the billiard in similar polygons is conjugate by the similarity. We introduce second topology which represents the dynamical system of a billiard in a polygon taking this into account. Fix a corner of the polygon and enumerate the sides in a cyclic way starting at this corner (say in a clockwise fashion). Place this corner at the origin and consider the similarity which takes the first side to the interval connecting the two points $(0,0)$ $(1,0)$. Then the side-lengths $\ell_1 = 1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_k$ and the angles $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ where α_i is the angle between sides i and $i+1$ determine the polygon. The angle α_1

is determined by the other angles, and the lengths ℓ_2 and ℓ_3 are determined by the remaining angles and lengths. Thus the set of k-gons are parametrized by $\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k, \ell_4, \ldots, \ell_k$, i.e., an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2k-4}$ (for triangles there are no length parameters). This representation is more unique than the first one. Each billiard in a polygon is represented $2k$-times, namely there are two representations for each corner of the polygon (one for each orientation). Again the space of all k-gons is a Baire space.

Finally we can make the representation unique, but only for a subset of k-gons: those with a unique longest side, such that the two sides of P adjacent to this side have different lengths (call them *generic*). In the above setting we consider the similarity which takes this longest side to the interval connecting the two points $(0,0)$ $(1,0)$ such that the longer of the two adjacent sides starts at $(1,0)$ and points upwards, thus the set of generic k-gons is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2k-4}$. The non-generic k-gons are on the boundary of this set, but they do not have a unique representation. Again the space of all generic k-gons is a Baire space.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Without any extra work we will shown the following generalization of Theorem 1.

Theorem 4. *Fix $k \geq 3$ and suppose that Z is a Baire set of k-gons such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set*

$$\{P \in Z : P \text{ is a rational polygon such that } N_P \geq n\}$$

is dense in Z. Then there is a dense G_δ subset G of Z for such that if $P \in G$ the corresponding billiard map and billiard shift are topologically weakly mixing.

The function N_P was defined in Fact (i) of Subsection 2.5. For example we could take Z to be the set of right triangles. Theorem 1 follows immediately by taking Z the set of all k-gons.

We begin by a precision on the statement of the theorem, it holds in both of the first two topologies, as well as for the third topology, but in this case it is a statement (only) about generic polygons. There are no differences in the proof other than the topology used.

Besides the method of approximation, the proof of the theorem uses some ideas contained in the following theorem of Banks, *if (X, f) is a totally transitive topological dynamical system and periodic points of f are dense in X then (X, f) is topologically weakly mixing* ([Ba] Theorem 1.1).

Fix a k-gon P and the corresponding phase space X_P. We (piecewise) affinely identify the each rectangle X_i with the rectangle $S := (0,1) \times (0,\pi)$, i.e., we affinely normalize the length of the ith side to be 1. For each $M \geq 1$ we consider the collection of $1/M \times \pi/M$ rectangles contained in S with corners at a point of the form $(i/(2M), j\pi/(2M))$ for some integers i, j. This collection is a finite open cover of S. It has cardinality $(2M-1)^2$. For each M we denote this collection by $\mathcal{U}^M := \{U_i^M\}$. The affine identification then yields a finite open cover of X_P of cardinality $k(2M-1)^2$. The union of these covers over $M \geq 1$ yields a countable basis $\mathcal{U}$ for the topology of X_P. Since we are studying topological weak mixing we also define $\mathcal{V}^M := \{U_i \times U_j : U_i, U_j \in \mathcal{U}^M\}$. Then $\mathcal{V} := \cup_{M \geq 1} \mathcal{V}^M$ is a countable basis for the topology of $X_P \times X_P$. The phase spaces X_P and $X_P \times X_P$ as well as these open covers vary continuously as we vary P.

Now suppose that P is rational. In this first step we use Fact (i). Let $N = N_P$. Then for any ξ not of the form $k\pi/N$, the invariant set $\mathcal{R}_\xi$ is at least $\pi/(2N)$ dense in the phase space X_P since the D_N orbit of any θ is at least $\pi/(2N)$ dense in $\mathbb{S}^1$. Thus we have

(ix) if P is a rational polygon such that $N_P > M/2$, then for each ξ not of the form $k\pi/N$ the invariant set $\mathcal{R}_\xi$ intersects all the sets in $\mathcal{U}^M$.

Proof of Theorem 4. By our definition of the billiard map it is not defined when leaving or arriving at a corner. For any set $A \subset X_P$ and any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ let A_ℓ be the set of points in A such that the map f^ℓ is defined. We will use the following short notation, we write $f^\ell A$ to stand for $f^\ell A_\ell$. Note that the set of points in X_P whose billiard flow orbit arrives at a corner is a closed smooth curve in X_P, thus the set of points for which f is not defined is a finite union of closed smooth curves. The same is true for any iterate f^ℓ of of the billiard map ($\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$). We will repeatedly use the following implication of these facts: for any open set $U \subset X_P$ for each $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ the set $f^\ell U$ is an open set, and furthermore if U is non-empty the $f^\ell U$ is non-empty. Furthermore, if P is rational, and U intersects a $\mathcal{R}_\xi$ then $U \cap \mathcal{R}_\xi$ is open in $\mathcal{R}_\xi$ and non-empty and thus for each $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ the set $f^\ell U \cap \mathcal{R}_\xi$ is open in $\mathcal{R}_\xi$ and furthermore non-empty since the set of points in $\mathcal{R}_\xi$ for which the map f^ℓ is not defined is a finite set of points.

Fix $k \geq 3$ and $M \geq 1$. The first part of the proof resembles Petersen's characterization of weak mixing [Pe] used in the proof of Banks theorem [Ba]. Consider any rational k-gon P in Z such that $N_P = N > M/2$ and sets $A, B, C, D \in \mathcal{U}^M$. Consider any ξ for which the map $(\mathcal{R}_\xi, f)$ is (totally) transitive. Using (ix), since $N > M/2$ each of the sets

A, B, C, D intersects $\mathcal{R}_\xi$ in an open set in $\mathcal{R}_\xi$, thus there is a $\ell \geq 1$ such that the open set $E := f^\ell A \cap C$ is non-empty, and thus $F := f^{-\ell}E \subset A \cap f^{-\ell}C$ is also open and non-empty (note that we do not necessarily have equality here since $f^{-\ell}f^\ell E = E_\ell \subset E$, see the remark at the start of the proof). By Corollary 3 periodic points are dense in X_P, thus there is a periodic point $x \in F$. Let m be the period of x. For $j \geq 1$, one has $f^{jm+\ell}x = f^\ell x \in C$, and thus the open set $f^{jm+\ell}A \cap C$ is also non-empty. The set $f^{-\ell}D$ is open and non-empty and intersects all $\mathcal{R}_\xi$. Thus we can choose a ξ such that $(\mathcal{R}_\xi, f^m)$ is transitive, since the open set $f^\ell B$ is non-empty this implies that there is a $j \geq 1$ such that $f^{mj}(f^\ell B) \cap D \neq \emptyset$. Let $n = mj + \ell$, then we have shown that $(f \times f)^n(A \times B) \cap (C \times D)$ is a non-empty open set.

We have shown that the billiard map in P verifies the condition for topological mixing for all sets $V, W \in \mathcal{V}^M$ (here $V := A \times B, W := C \times D$). Since the collection $\mathcal{V}^M$ is finite and the billiard map and the collection $\mathcal{V}^M$ vary continuously as we vary P we can find an $\varepsilon(P) > 0$ such that the billiard map verifies this condition for all $Q \in B(P, \varepsilon(P))$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_N$ denote the set of rational polygons which satisfy $N_P = N$. Consider

$$\bigcup_{N > \frac{M}{2}} \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_N} B(P, \varepsilon(P)).$$

This is an open dense set of polygons verifying the characterization (TM) for all sets $V, W \in \mathcal{V}^M$. Thus the set

$$G := \bigcap_{M=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{N > \frac{M}{2}} \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_N} B(P, \varepsilon(P))$$

is a dense G_δ set verifying the characterization (TM) for all V, W in the basis $\mathcal{V}$.

Suppose $P \in G$. To see that that the billiard shift (Σ_P, σ) is topologically weakly mixing consider a cylinder set $\bar{A} \subset \Sigma_P$. Then since $\mathcal{U}$ is a basis for the topology of X_P we can then find a (non-empty) $A \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $A \subset \pi(\bar{A}) \cap X_P$. Now repeat this for four cylinder sets $\bar{A}, \bar{B}, \bar{D}, \bar{D}$. We have verified that there is an n such that $(f \times f)^n(A \times B) \cap (C \times D) \neq \emptyset$. Then Equation (1) implies that $(\sigma \times \sigma)^n(\bar{A} \times \bar{B}) \cap (\bar{C} \times \bar{D}) \neq \emptyset$. Thus by the characterization (TM) the billiard shift (Σ_P, σ) is topologically weakly mixing.

Now since the billiard map is not a topological dynamical system, we can not directly use the characterization (TM), but need to repeat the ideas behind the proof of this characterization to show that it is topological weakly mixing.

Consider $P \in G$ and $W, V \in \mathcal{V}$. Since $P \in G$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the open set $(f \times f)^n W \cap V$ is non-empty. Thus we can choose an open set W' whose closure $\overline{W'} \subset W$ such that $(f \times f)^n$ is defined (and thus continuous) for every point of $\overline{W'}$ and $(f \times f)^{n_1}(\overline{W'}) \subset V$.

Remember that $\mathcal{V}$ is a countable basis for the topology of $X_P \times X_P$. We need to find an infinite $f \times f$ orbit which visits each of the V_k. Fix $W_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $\overline{W_0} \subset X_P \times X_P$. Apply the previous paragraph to W_0 and V_1, this yields an $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a compact neighborhood $\overline{W_1} \subset W_0$ such that $(f \times f)^{n_1}$ is defined and continuous on $\overline{W_1}$ and $(f \times f)^{n_1}\overline{W_1} \subset V_1$.

Now inductively suppose that for $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ we have defined a compact neighborhoods $\overline{W_\ell} \subset W_{\ell-1}$, and positive integer n_ℓ such that for each $\ell \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ the map $(f \times f)^{n_\ell}$ is defined and continuous on $\overline{W_\ell}$ and $(f \times f)^{n_\ell}\overline{W_\ell} \subset V_\ell$.

Now we again apply the above construction to W_m and V_{m+1} to find an $n_{m+1} \in \mathbb{N}$ and a compact neighborhood $\overline{W_{m+1}} \subset W_m$ such that $(f \times f)^{n_{m+1}}$ is defined and continuous on $\overline{W_{m+1}}$ and $(f \times f)^{n_{m+1}}\overline{W_{m+1}} \subset V_{m+1}$.

Every point in $\cap_{m \geq 0} \overline{W_m}$ will have an infinite $(f \times f)$ forward orbit which visits all the sets V_ℓ, and thus the forward orbit is dense in $X_P \times X_P$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 4 and thus also of Theorem 1. □

4. Open problems

It would be interesting to prove that the billiard flow in a typical polygon is topologically weakly mixing. One could envisage a proof similar to the one we have given for the billiard map, but one would need to know something about the transitivity of time t map for a dense set of invariant surfaces in a rational polygon for all times t which are periods of the billiard flow.

The aforementioned result of Chaika and Fickensher indicates that it could be possible that the billiard map and or flow is topologcally mixing in some irrational polygons.

The measure theoretic mixing properties stronger than ergodicity and weaker than K-mixing (for example weak mixing, strong mixing, multiple mixing) for the billiard map and or flow in any irrational polygon is a completely open question.

References

[AvDe] A. Ávila and V. Delecroix, *Weak mixing directions in non-arithmetic Veech surfaces* Journal of the American Mathematical Society 29 (2016) 1167–1208.

[AvFo] A. Ávila and G. Forni, *Weak mixing for interval exchange transformations and translation flows* Annals of Mathematics 165 (2007) 637–664.

[Ba] J. Banks, *Regular periodic decompositions for topologically transitive maps* Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems 17 (1997) 505–529.

[BoGaKrTr] M. Boshernitzan, G. Galperin, T. Krüger, and S. Troubetzkoy, *Periodic billiard orbits are dense in rational polygons* Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 350 (1998), no. 9, 3523–3535.

[ChFi] J. Chaika and J. Fickenscher, *Topological mixing for some residual sets of interval exchange transformations* Communications in Mathematical Physics 333 (2015) 483–503.

[GaKrTr] G. Galperin, T. Krüger, and S. Troubetzkoy, *Local instability of orbits in polygonal and polyhedral billiards* Communications in Mathematical Physics 169 (1995) 463–473.

[GuHa] E. Gutkin and N. Haydn, *Topological entropy of generalized polygon exchanges* Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 32 (1995) 50–56.

[Ka] A. Katok, *Interval exchange transformations and some special flows are not mixing* Israel Journal of Mathematics 35 (1980) 301–310.

[Ka1] A. Katok, *The growth rate for the number of singular and periodic orbits for a polygonal billiard* Communications in Mathematical Physics (1987) 151–160.

[Ka2] A. Katok, *Ergodicity of generic irrational billiards* p. 13 in Abstracts of Workshop on two-manifolds and geometry, MSRI Publ. vol. 1, 1986.

[KaZe] A. Katok and A. Zemlyakov, *Topological transitivity of billiards in polygons* Mathematical Notes 18 (1975) 760–764.

[Ke] M. Keane, *Interval exchange transformations* Mathematische Zeitschrift 141 (1975) 25–32.

[KeMaSm] S. Kerckhoff, H. Masur and J. Smillie, *Ergodicity of billiard flows and quadratic differentials* Annals of Mathematics 124 (1986) 293–311.

[KeRo] H. Keynes and J. Robertson, *Eigenvalue theorems in topological transformation groups*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 139 (1969) 359–369.

[Le] N. J. Lennes, *On the Motion of a Ball on a Billiard Table* The American Mathematical Monthly 12 (1905) 152–155.

[MSTr] A. Malaga Sabogal and S. Troubetzkoy, *Weakly-mixing polygonal billiards* Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society (2016).

[MaTa] H. Masur and S. Tabachnikov, *Rational Billiards and Flat Surfaces*, in Handbook of Dynamical Systems, Vol. 1A, Elsevier Science B.V., 2002, edited by B. Hasselblatt and A. Katok.

[Pe] K. Petersen, *Disjointness and weak mixing of minimal sets*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 24 (1970) 278–280.

[PoSt] F. Pospiech and A. Stepin, *Über die vollständige Nichtintegrierbarkeit eines Systems zweier Teilchen auf einem Intervall* Wisenschaftliche Zeitschrift der Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg Mathematisch-naturwissenschaftliche Reihe 37 (1988) 89–98.

[Sc] D. Scheglov, *Growth of periodic orbits and generalized diagonals for typical triangular billiards* Journal Modern Dynamics 7 (2013) 31–44.

[Tr] S. Troubetzkoy, *Recurrence and periodic billiard orbits in polygons*, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics 9 (2004), no. 1, 1–12.

Department of Mathematics of FCE, Czech Technical University in Prague, Thákurova 7, 166 29 Prague 6, Czech Republic
E-mail address: jozef.bobok@cvut.cz

Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, I2M, Marseille, France

postal address: I2M, Luminy, Case 907, F-13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France
E-mail address: serge.troubetzkoy@univ-amu.fr
URL: http://iml.univ-mrs.fr/~troubetz/